DOCUMENT RESUME

ED 238 401 IR 010 923

AUTHOR Beal, Jack L.; And Others

TITLE, State of Washington Computer Use Survey.

INSTITUTION Washington Univ., Seattle. Coll. of Education.

PUB DATE May 83 NOTE 65p.

PUB TYPE Reports - Research/Technical (143) --

Tests/Evaluation Instruments (160)

EDRS PRICE &F01/PC03 Plus Postage.

DESCRIPTORS Computer Assisted Instruction; *Computer Oriented

Programs; *Computer Programs; *Computers; Computer Science Education; Elementary Secondary Education; Input Output Devices; Inservice Teacher Education; *Microcomputers; *Public Schools; Questionnaires;

School District Spending; State Surveys; Use

Studies

IDENTIFIERS Compute: Users; *Computer Uses in Education;

*Washington

ABSTRACT

This report presents the results of a spring 1982 survey of a random sample of Washington public schools which separated findings according to school level (elementary, middle, junior high, or high school) and district size (either less than or greater than 2,000 enrollment). A brief review of previous studies and a description of the survey procedures are followed by the findings for each of the 15 topics addressed by the survey: (1) percentage of schools using computers; (2) rotation of computers among schools; (3) number of computers in individual schools; (4) hours per week each computer is used; (5) brands and types of computers; (6) ways computers are used; (7) use of software in the classroom; (8) subject areas using software; (9) software sources; (10) peripherals used; (11) percent of staff using computers; (12) availability of inservice training; (13) availability of computer-related curricula; (14) existence of computer-oriented student learning objectives; and (15) projected computer-related expenditures. A summary of the findings for each educational level concludes the report. A 4-item reference list and 15 tables are included. A copy of the questionnaire and additional study data are appended. (LMM)



UNIVERSITY OF WASHINGTON College of Education

U.S. DEPARTMENT OF EDUCATION NATIONAL INSTITUTE OF EDUCATION EDUCATIONAL RESOURCES INFORMATION

CENTER (ERIC)

This document has been reproduced as

- received from the person or organization originating it.

 Minor changes have been made to improve reproduction quanty.
- Points of view or opinions stated in this document do not necessarily represent official NIE position or policy.

STATE OF WASHINGTON COMPUTER USE SURVEY

Jack L. Beal

Lynn D. Churchill

Conn McQuinn

May 1983

"PERMISSION TO REPRODUCE THIS MATERIAL HAS BEEN GRANTED BY

Jack L. Beal

TO THE EDUCATIONAL RESOURCES INFORMATION CENTER (ERIC)."

M

TABLE OF CONTENTS

	P a g e
INTRODUCTION	. 1
PROCEDURES	. 5
FINDINGS	. 9
Are Computers Used in Schools?	. 9
Do Schools Rotate Computers Among Schools?	. 10
How Many Computers Do Schools Have?	. 10
How Many Hours Per Week is Each Computer Used?	. 13
What Kinds of Computers are Used in Schools?	. 14
How are Computers Used in Schools?	. 14
Do Schools Use Software in the Classroom?	. 16
What Subject Areas Use Software?	. 16
What are the Sources of Software?	. 16
What Peripherals are Used in Schools?	. 19
What Per Cent of Staff Use Computers?	. 19
Is Inservice Training Available?	. 19
Is Computer-Related Curricula Available?	. 21
Do Schools Have Computer-Oriented SLO's?	. 23
Projected Computer-Related Expenditures	. 23
CONCLUSIONS	. 24
REFERENCES	201



TABLES

Table	1	Percentage of Returns by District Size and Grade Level for Washington State Public Schools Computer Use Survey	ç
Table	2	Average Number of Computers for All Washington State Schools by Grade Level	10
Table	3	Average Number of Computers for All Washington State Schools by District Size	11
Table	4	Average Number of Computers Per School for Washington State Schools Using Computers by Grade Level]]
Tab le	5	Average Number of Computers per School for Washington State Schools Reporting Using Computers by District Size	12
Table	6	Number of Students per Computer in Washington State Schools Using Computers Classified by Grade Level	12
Table	7	Number of Students per Computer in Washington State Schools Using Computers Classified by District Size	13
Table	8	Average Number of Hours Washington State Schools Use Computers Each Week Classified by Grade Level	13
Table	9	Types of Computers Used in Washington Schools by Grade Level	15
Table	10	Current Uses of Computers in Washington Schools by Grade Level	17
Table	11	Subject Areas in Which Software is Used in Washington Schools by Grade Level	18



		Page
Table 12	Sources of Software for Washington Schools by Grade Level	20
Table 13	Types of Computer Peripherals Used in Washington Schools by Grade Level	20
Table 14	Type of Staff Training on Use of Computers in Washington State Schools by Grade Level	22
Table 15	Funding Sources for Computers in Washington State Schools by Grade Level	22



APPENDIXES

			Ρā	age
Appendix	1	Computer Use Survey		30
Appendix	2	Distribution of Mailings Number Mailed by ESD, Grade, and Size	•	32
Appendix	3A	Computer Use in Schools by ESD by Grade Level		33
Appendix	3B	Computer Use in Schools by ESD by District Size		34
Appendix	3 C	Computer Use in Schools by ESD by District Size by Grade Level	•	35
Appendix	4 A	Types of Computers Used in Washington State Schools	•	36
Appendix	4 B	Current Uses of Computers in Washington State Schools	•	37
Appendix	4C	Subject A: Using Software in Washington State Schools		38
Appendix	4D	Sources of Software Utilized by Washington State Schools	•	39
Appendix	4 E	Types of Computer Peripherals Used by Washington State Schools		40
Appendix	4F	Types of Training in Computer Use for Washington State Schools	•	41
Appendix	4 G	Sources of Funding for Computers in Washington State Schools	•	42
Appendix	4н	Prospective Computer Related Budget Items in Washington State Schools That Do Use Computers	•	43
Appendix	41	Prospective Computer Related Budget Items in Washington State Schools That Do Not Use Computers	•	44



UNIVERSITY OF WASHINGTON
College of Education
May, 1983
State of Washington
Computer Use Survey
INTRODUCTION

Each year more and more schools are purchasing computers and implementing them into their instructional programs. A few national and regional studies (Edwards, 1979; Fisher and Dunn, 1981; National Center for Education Statistics, 1982; The Association of Washington School Principals, 1982) have addressed the current status of computer use in public and private schools. However, none have thoroughly addressed the question of the use of microcomputers in Washington State public schools K-12.

Edwards (1979) conducted a survey of superintendents and a survey of teachers known to be involved with computers across a six state region (Alaska, Hawaii, Idaho, Montana, Oregon and Washington). Results from the Washington State superintendents indicated that 66% of the responding districts used computers for administration and only 26% used computers for instruction. For the total sample, however, 59% of the districts used computers in administration and 34% used computers in instruction. Projections for 1982 indicated that across the six state region computer use would rise to 73% for administration and 58% for instruction. Edwards summarized,



... the most frequent current uses of computers were concentrated in areas of teaching about computers or teaching how to use computers. Computers were currently used less frequently as instructional tools. (p. 18)

A much more comprehensive study of Washington State schools, grades 4-12, was conducted by Fisher and Dunn in the spring of 1981. 72% responded to the survey. Of the schools responding, 89% were junior or senior high schools. Approximately 53% of the responding schools reported having computers. Of these, 44% had Radio Shack TRS-80s, 35% had Apples and 12% had Commodore PETs.

A small survey (n=27) conducted by the Association of Washington School Principals in 1982 found that the major use for computers was for instruction related to computer literacy. However, there was a strong indication that computers were also being used for remedial and gifted instruction.

On the national scale, a 1982 survey by the National Center for Education Statistics (NCES) indicates that the number of microcomputers available for instructional use in United States public schools has tripled since 1980. It is estimated that 35% of the public schools currently have at least one computer terminal or microcomputer. By grade level, approximately 60% of all secondary schools have at least one computer terminal or microcomputer and only about 20% of all elementary schools are so equipped.



The major use for microcomputers was for teaching computer science at the senior high school level, computer literacy and remedial work at the junior high school level and computer literacy and basic skills at the elementary school level.

Computer use in our society is escalating at a phenomenal rate and computer education is definitely on the increase. However, what is not clear is what is currently being done in public schools in the state of Washington. Considering the threefold growth nationally, changes from one year to the next may be exceptional. Therefore a survey of a random sample of public schools in the state of Washington was conducted. Schools were classified according to students served as elementary, middle, junior high or senior high school and according to district size as small (n=2000) and large (n>2000). This had not been done satisfactorily by previous studies. The major questions concerning computer use in Washington State public schools addressed by this survey are as follows:

- 1) What percentage of schools currently use computers?
- 2) What brands or types of computers are currently used?
- 3) How many computers are being used?
- 4) Are computers permanently placed in schools or are they rotated among schools?
- 5) What is the average amount of time computers are used each week?
- 6) What are the major sources of funding for computers and related materials?



- 7) What are the current uses of computers?
- 8) Is software currently used in classrooms?
- 9) What are major sources for staining software?
- 10) What subject areas are currently using software?
- 11) What peripherals are used with computers?
- 12) What percentage of the staff is actively involved i, using computers?
- 13) Has computer-related inservice training been available to teachers?
- 14) How have teachers been trained when computer-related inservice was not available?
- 15) Do schools have computer-related student learning
 objectives (SLOs)?
- 16) What are the projected computer-related expenses for the next two years?



PROCEDURES.

The survey form was constructed to require a simple check of options or a written numerical response. Options were selected based on responses from previous surveys, related literature, and professional opinions. Only those options which were felt to be the most significant (i.e. would be the most frequently selected) were included. A copy of resulting survey can be found in Appendix 1.

A simple random sample of schools in Washington State would tend to cluster around large population centers. Due to this possible geographic bias, an alternative sampling procedure was employed. There are nine Educational Service Districts (ESDs) in the state. Six small districts (\$\frac{2}{2000}\$ student enrollment) and six large districts (\$\frac{2}{2000}\$ student enrollment) were randomly sampled from each ESD. One elementary school, one middle school or junior high and one senior high school were then randomly sampled from each selected district. See Figure 1 for further clarification.






Figure 1: Method for Selecting of Schools

If an ESD did not have six large districts all large districts in that ESD were used. Most of the small districts had some junior highsenior high combinations. These junior high-senior high combinations were treated in the sampling and analyses as senior high schools.

This resulted in a total sample of 273 schools across Washington State. By grade level, 105 elementary schools, 65 junior high or middle schools and 103 senior high schools were sampled. With respect to district size, 121 small district schools and 152 large district schools were sampled. A breakdown of the sampling by ESD, district size and grade level can be found in Appendix 2.

A follow-up mailing procedure was employed to maximize the number of returns. Initial mailings were sent in April 1982. The follow-up mailing was conducted one month later in May 1982. Each mailing consisted of the survey, a cover letter and a return envelope. The cover letter requested that the person most knowledgeable of the use of computers in the school, complete the form.

Analyses were done on a VAX 11/780 using SPSS, Statistical Package for the Social Sciences, for VAX/VMS, version M, release 9.1. The frequencies of responses were determined using the FREQUENCIES procedure command. Tests of significance, χ^2 and Analysis of Variance, were done using the CROSSTABS and ANOVA procedure commands respectively. Missing



values were not included in the analyses. Corrected χ^2 calculations were reported whenever available. These were available only for tests with 1 degree of freedom. All other χ^2 results use raw χ^2 scores.



FINDINGS

There was a 61 total return for the two mailings. Percentage of returns by grade and district size are shown in Table 1. A more comprehensive break general fit grade, and district size can be found in Appendix 2.

Percentage of Feturns by District Size and Grade Level for Washington State Public Schools

Computer Use Survey

	Elem	Jr. Hi.	Sr. Hi.	Total
Small District	46°	6 0°.	63 %	55%
Large District	65∴	64∷	69%	66%
Total	55%	63%	66%	61%

Six returns are listed as missing for grade related data due to insufficient information to identify the grade levels of the schools.

Are Computers Used in Schools?

About 67% of the schools responding currently use computers in their schools. (Henceforth, computers will mean both microcomputers and computer terminals.) Sixty-one per cent of the small district schools and 70% of the large district schools use computers. There was a highly significant grade level by computer use relationship observed ($\chi^2 = 34.77$, 2 df, p<.001).



Eighty-five per cent of senior high schools, 73% of the junior high schools and 36% of the elementary schools use computers. Appendixes 3A, 3B, and 3C provide additional breakdowns of computer use by ESD, grade level and district size.

Do Schools Rotate Computers Among Schools?

Very few schools reported sharing their computers with other schools on a rotating basis. Only 9 computers, about 2% of all computers reported, were used on a rotating basis.

How Many Computers Do Schools Have?

Overall there are approximately 2.63 computers per school for the total sample. This breaks down to about 1.2 computers per elementary school, 2.0 computers per junior high school and 4.3 computers per senior high school. These represent a significant relationship between grade level and number of computers per school.

Table 2

Average Number of Computers for All Washington State Schools by Grade Level

Grade Level	Computers/School	# of Schools
Elementary	1.17	58
Junior High	1.95	41
Senior High	4.28	68
TOTAL	2 63	167

F = 12.282, 2 df, p < .001



Small district schools averaged about 1.7 computers per school whereas large district schools averaged about 4.0 computers per school. Again, there was a significant relationship between computer use and district size.

Table 3

Average Number of Computers for All Washington State Schools by District Size

District Size	Computers/School	# of Schools
Sma 1 1	1.73	71
Large	4.01	102
Total	2.63	173*

F = 4.475, 1df, p = .036

If only schools where computers are reported as being used are considered, elementary schools average 3.24 computers per school, junior high schools average 2.96 computers per school, and senior high schools average 5.11 computers per school. This represents a significant relationship between computers per school and grade level.

Table 4

Average Number of Computers Per School
for Washington State Schools Using Computers by Grade Level

Grade Level	Computers/School	# of Schools
Elementary	3.24	21
Junior High	2.96	30
Sen io r High	5.11	58
_		

F = 3.18, 2 df, p < .05



^{*}Includes six cases previously noted as missing for grade level analys s.

Similarly when schools were classified by district size, we found a nearly significant relationship between the number of computers per school and district size.

Table 5

Average Number of Computers per School for Washington State Schools Reporting Using Computers by District Size

District Size	Computers/School	# of Schools
Small	2.93	43
Large	5.93	72

F = 2.97, 2 df, p = .056

When the data were analyzed according to the number of students per computer in schools using computers, it was found that elementary schools average 184 students per computer, junior high schools average 237 students per computer and senior high schools average 379 students per computer.

This represented a nearly significant relationship between number of students per computer and grade level.

Number of Students per Computer in Washington
State Schools Using Computers Classified by Grade Level

Grade Level	Computers/School	# of Schools
Elementary	184	21
Junior High	237	30
Senior High	379	58

F = 2.97, 2 df, p = .056



When the same data were considered according to district size, we found small districts averaging 153 students per computer while large districts average 313 students per computer. Again, the average number of students per computer was significantly related to district size.

Number of Students per Computer in Washington
State Schools Using Computers Classified by District Size

District Size	Students/Computer	# of Schools
Small	153	43
Large	313	72

F = 11.17, 1 df, p < .001

How Many Hours Per Week is Each Computer Used?

The data were also analyzed on the basis of number of hours per week each computer was used. Overall, schools use computers about 18.5 hours per week. The amount of use was related significantly to grade level. Elementary schools report an average of 15 hours per week for computer use; junior high schools 16 hours per week; and senior high schools 21 hours per week.

Table 8

Average Number of Hours Washington State
Schools Use Computers Each Week Classified by Grade Level

Grade Level	Hours/Week	# of Schools
Elementary	15	21
Junior High	16	30
Senior High	21	58

F = 3.56, 2 df, p = .032



Finally, no significant relationship was found with the average amount of computer use and district size.

What Kinds of Computers are Used in Schools?

Apple and TRS-80 microcomputers were the most frequent brands of computers used in Washington State schools. Apples are used in 55% of the schools that use computers. This particular brand was reported as being used by 52% of the elementary schools, 40% of the junior high schools, and 64% of the senior high schools. TRS-80s were reported in approximately 49% of the schools. These microcomputers from Radio Shack are used in 43% of the elementary schools, 47% of the junior high schools, and 52% of the senior high schools. Time-share terminals were the third most frequently reported brand or type of computer. All time-share terminals were ones connected to computers outside the school. Appendix 4A has additional information on types of computers used.

How are Computers Used in Schools?

The most frequent use of computers in the schools was for teaching programming. Sixty-eight per cent of the schools reported teaching programming. There was a highly significant ($\chi^2 = 9.83$, 2 df, p = .007) grade level relationship. Approximately 52% of the elementary schools, 53% of the junior high schools, and 81% of the senior high schools use computers to teach programming. Computer-assisted instruction (CAI) was a use reported by 71% of the elementary schools, 53% of the junior high schools, and 45% of the senior high schools. Fifty-two per cent of the schools, overall, use computers for CAI. Fifty-six per cent of



Table 9

Types of Computers Used in Washington Schools by Grade Level

(109 valid cases)

	Elementary		Junio	r High	Seni	or High	Total	
Type of Computer	Number (N=21)	*Per Cent	Number (N=30)	*Per Cent	Number (N=58)	*Per Cent	Number (N=109)	≁Per Cent
Apple	11	52	12	40	37	64	60	55
Atari	1	5	2	7		2	4	4
Commodore	0	0	2	7	8	14	10	9
Texas Instrument	0	0	0	0	1	2	1	1
TRS-30	9	43	14	47	30	52	53	49
Time-Share	3	14	4	13	16	28	23	21
Other	0	0	2	7	6	10	8	7
						1		

^{*}Respondents could mark more than one category, therefore, per cent may total more than 100%.

the schools use computers to teach about computers. Student use of computers outside of class was mentioned by 54% of the schools. There was a significant (χ^2 = 6.71, 2 df, p = .035) grade level relationship. Seventy per cent of the junior high schools, 53% of the senior high schools, and 33% of the elementary schools reported the use of the computers by students outside of class. See Table 10 for responses regarding other uses. Appendix 4B contains additional information by district size.

Do Schools Use Software in the Classroom?

Of schools that have computers, 68% use software in the classroom. Software is used in the classrooms of 67% of the elementary schools, 67% of the junior high schools, and 71% of the senior high schools.

What Subject Areas Use Software?

The most frequent subject area to be cited as using software was mathematics with 59% of the schools responding accordingly. Computer education was the next most frequent response with 40%. Use of software in language arts had a nearly significant grade level relationship ($\chi^2 = 5.58$, 2 df, p = .062). Forty-three per cent of the elementary schools, 23% of the junior high schools and 17% of the senior high schools reported using software in language arts. Table 11 and Appendix 4C can be consulted for further summaries of related responses.

What are the Sources of Software?

The most frequent source for software for schools was computer vendors. This source for software was reported by 58° of the schools. The next two



Table 10

Current Uses of Computers in Washington Schools by Grade Level

(109 valid cases)

	Elementary		Junior High		(Senior High	Total		
Type of Computer	Number (N=21)	*Per Cent	Number (N=30)	*Per Cent	Number (N=58)	*Per Cent	Number (N=109)	*Per Cent	
CAI	15	71	16	53	26	45	57	52	
Teach Programming	11	52	16	53	47	81	74	68	
Administration	2	10	3	10	16	28	21	19	
Class Management	4	19	7	23	21	36	32	29	
Students outside of Class	7	33	21	70	31	53	59	54	
Teach about Computers	9	43	18	60	34	58	61	56	
Computer Clubs	1	5	6	20	8	14	15	14	
Business Education	1	5	1	3	25	43	27	25	
Vocational Education	1	5	0	0	9	16	10	9	
Other Uses	4	19	5	17	6	10	15	14	

^{*}Respondents could mark more than one category, therefore, per cent may total more than 100%.



Table 11
Subject Areas in Which Software is Used in Washington Schools by Grade Level

(109 valid cases)

			Τ		1		1	
Subject	Elem	Elementary		Junior High		or High	Total	
	Number (N=21)	*Per Cent	N=30)	*Per Cent	Number (N=58)	*Per Cent	Number (N=109)	*Per Cent
Mathematics	14	67	18	60	32	55	64	59
Language Arts	9	43	7	23	10	17	26	24
Reading	6	29	7	23	9	16	22	20
Business Education	1	5		3	24	41	26	24
History	2	10		3	1	2	4	4
Science	4	19	7	23	10	17	21	19
Social Studies	4	19	4	13	2	3	10	9
Art	1	5	2	7	1	2	4	4
Vocational Education	2	10	3	10	16	28	21	19
Computer Education	5	24	13	43	26	45	44	40
Other Subjects	2	10	4	13	8	14	14	13
								•

^{*}Respondents could mark more than one category, therefore, per cent may total more than 100%.



38% reported respectively. Table 12 and Appendix 4D report additional statistics with respect to sources of software.

What Peripherals are Used in Schools?

The most frequent responses for types of computer peripherals used in the schools were disk drives, printers and tape drives. Sixty-six per cent of the schools use disk drives with a highly significant use of disk drive by grade level relationship being observed ($\mathbf{X}^2 = 9.74$, 2 df, p = .008). Fifty-two per cent of the elementary schools, 50% of the junior high schools and 79% of the senior high schools currently use disk drives. Eighty-eight per cent of the senior high schools, 48% of the elementary schools, and 43% of the junior high schools use printers. There was a significant grade level by use of printer relationship. ($\mathbf{X}^2 = 22.94$, 2 df, p < .001). Further information can be found in Table 13 and Appendix 4E on the use of tape drives, modems, videotape/videodisks, etc.

What Per Cent of Staff Use Computers?

The per cent of staff using computers had a significant relationship with grade level (F = 14.69, 2 df, p < .01). Elementary schools averaged 27% of staff using computers whereas junior high school and senior high school staffs averaged about 11% and 8% respectively. Overall, only 12% of staffs use computers in schools that reported using computers.

Is Inservice Training Available?

Inservice training of staff was available in 48% of the schools. There was, however, a significant relationship between the availability



Table 12 Sources of Software for Washington Schools by Grade Level

(109 valid cases)

	Ele	mentary	Junior High		Se	enior High_	Total	
Source	Number (N=21)	*Per Cent	Number (N=30)	*Per Cent	Number (N=58)	*Per Cent	Number (N=109)	*Per Cent
Compute Vendor	13	62	15	50	35	60	63	58
Mail Order	7	33	9	30	14	24	30	28
Written by Staff	6	29	16	53	31	53	53	49
User Group or Exchange	1	5	5	17	16	28	22	20
Copies of Programs	1	5	9	30	16	28	26	24
Written by Student	3	14	10	33	28	48	41	38
Other Sources	1	5	2	7	1	2	4	4

Table 13 Types of Computer Peripherals Used in Washington Schools by Grade Level (109 valid cases)

Peripheral	Eler	Elementary		ior High	Sei	nior High	Total	
	Number (N=21)	*Per Cent	Number (N=30)	*Per Cent	Number (N=58)	*Per Cent	Number (N=109)	* Per Cent
Disk Drive	11	52	15	50	46~	79	72	66
Printer	10	48	13	43	51	88	74	68
Videotape/Videodisk	2	10	5	17	2	3	9	8
Tape Drive	5	24	12	40	14	24	31	28
Modem	3	14	3	10	13	22	19	17
Hard Disk	0	0	0	0	1	2	1	1
Other	0	0	0	0	1	2	1	1



of inservice training and grade level (χ^2 = 6.59, 2 df, p = .037). Inservice was available in 62% of the elementary schools and 60% of the junior high schools while only 36% of the high schools had inservice available for their staffs.

When inservice wasn't available, the staffs were self-taught. There was a significant grade level relationship with self-taught training. Senior high schools and junior high schools reported approximately 66% and 60% of their staffs, respectively, were self-taught as opposed to 33% for elementary schools. The next most frequent source of training when inservice was not available was college coursework. Forty-six per cent of the schools reported this as a source of training. College coursework also had a highly significant relationship (χ^2 = 13.75, 2 df, p = .01) with grade level. Sixty per cent of the senior high schools, 40 per cent of the junior high schools and 14% of the elementary schools report this as a major source of training for their staffs when inservice was not available. Further information on types of training (e.g. from other staff and vendor classes) can be found in Table 14 and Appendix 4F.

The regular school budget was a major source of funding for computers and related materials for 75% of the schools using computers. The next most frequent source (26%) mentioned was federal grants. Only about 16% reported using state monies. See Table 15 and Appendix 4G for additional data.

Is Computer-Related Curricula Available?

Approximately 51% of the schools reported having curricula in computer programming. Twenty-nine per cent of the elementary schools, 37% of the junior high schools and 66% of the senior high schools reported having curricula in programming. A significant relationship between grade level



Table 14

Type of Staff Training on Use of Computers in Washington State Schools by Grade Level

(109 valid cases)

Type of Training	Ele	mentary	Junior High		Se	nior High	Total	
	Number (N=21)	* Per Cent	Number (N=30)	*Per Cent	Number (N=58)	*Per Cent	Number (N=109)	*Per Cent
Inservice	13	62	18	60	21	36	52	48
Self-Taught	7	33	18	60	38	66	63	58
College Coursework	3	14	12	40	35	60	50	46
From Other Staff	5	24	8	27	9	16	22	20
User Groups	1	5	4	13	2	3	7	6
Vendor Classes	2	10	8	27	9	16	19	17
Other Ways	1	5	0	()	0	0	1	1

Table 15
Funding Sources for Computers in Washington State Schools by Grade Level

(109 valid cases)

Funding Source	Elementary		Junior High		Se	nior High	Total	
	Number (N=21)	*Per Cent	Number (N=30)	*Per Cent	Number (N=58)	*Per Cent	Number (N=109)	*Per Cent
PTA, PTSA, PTO, etc.	3	14	7	23	1	2	11	10
State Grant	3	14	2	7	12	21	17	16
Staff Contribution	1	5	3	10	0	0	4	4
Private Donation	1	5	3	10	6	10	10	9
Federal Grant	7	. 33	7	23	14	24	28	26
Regular School Budget	13	62	22	73	47	81	82	75
Other Sources	5	24	6	20	4	7	15	14



34

and use of programming curricula (χ^2 = 11.57, 2 df, p = .003) was observed Only about 28% of the schools responded as having curricula about computers. Approximately 31% of the senior high schools, 30% of the junior high schools, and 14% of the elementary schools reported having curricula about computers.

Do Schools Have Computer-Oriented SLO's?

Approximately 27% of the schools reported having computer-orients student learning objectives (SLOs) with the majority being senior high schools. Thirty-three per cent of the high schools reported having computer SLOs with 63% of these indicating the SLOs were restricted to the school and were not district-wide. However, of the 20% of the junior high schools reporting computer SLOs, two-thirds were district-wide. Of the 21% of the elementary schools reporting SLOs, three-fourths were district-wide.

Projected Computer-Related Expenditures

Sixty-seven per cent of the schools that now use computers reported that microcomputers would be included in their budgets in the next two years while only 48% of the nonusers plan to purchase microcomputers. Five per cent of the nonusers in contrast to 39% of the users expect to budget for peripherals. Only 19% of the nonusers expect to budget for computer-related library materials whereas 38% of the users expect to do the same. In addition, 71% of the users have plans to purchase software compared to 43% of the nonusers. In all of the above cases there was a significant relationship between whether schools now use computers



and the probability they will spend additional monies for computer-related items. Appendixes 4H and 4I give detailed information concerning how schools, when classified according to district size and grade level, plan to spend money over the next two years on computer-related items.

CONCLUSIONS

Washington State schools are actively involved in the use of computers. With over a 60% return on the survey, results indicate that approximately 60% of all Washington State public schools currently use computers. This use is about the same overall for large and small districts but tends to vary considerably by grade level. Roughly 36% of the elementary schools currently use computers, but the percentage of junior high schools and senior high schools that use computers is more than double that of the elementary school. The percentage of Washington State schools using computers appears to be greater than the national percentage of schools using computers.

Of those Washington State public schools that currently use computers, high schools still seem to be the main repository of the computers with an average of about 5 computers per high school. Junior high schools and elementary schools only have about 3 computers per school. Although senior high schools have more computers, they also tend to have a higher student to computer ratio than either junior high schools or elementary schools.

Large district schools tend to have more computers per school than small district schools but small district schools have a student to computer ratio approximately one-half that of large district schools. The picture of computer availability is definitely related at this time to the grade



level of the school and to district size.

Senior high schools tend to use their computers 1/2 more hours per week then either junior high schools or elementary schools. This result is an important consideration in interpreting the number of students per computer as discussed earlier. In fact, large district senior high schools averaged about 23 hours of use per week. The overall average for schools with computers, though, was around 18.5 hours per week.

The most common type of computer in the schools is the microcomputer. APPLES and TRS-80s are the most popular brands of computers with many schools frequently having both. Although time-share terminals used to be quite popular in the schools, the results would indicate that their popularity is quickly coming to an end.

Further discussion will be done by summarizing the results at specific grade levels. This will probably present the best picture of computer use in Washington State public schools. The typical description of each will be based on the average response of each item for that particular grade level.

The typical Washington State public elementary school does not have a microcomputer. However, the elementary school that has a computer can be described as follows:

- 1) The school has approximately 3 computers.
- The computers are probably Apple or TRS-80 microcomputers.
- 3) The school has one microcomputer for every 184 students.
- 4) Over a fourth of the staff is actively involved in using the computers.
- 5) Each computer is used about 15 hours per week.
- 6) The computers are primarily used to teach using CAI. To a lesser extent, they are used to teach programming and to teach about computers.



- 7) The school tends to use software in the classroom for mathematics and language arts. Reading and computer education are subjects that also occasionally use software.
- 8) The software for the school was probably purchased from a computer vendor. To a lesser extent, mail order and staff were sources of software.
- 9) The school probably has disk drives for its computers instead of tape drives. The school may also have a printer.
- 10) Inservice training has been available to the staff but some are self-taught or have gotten training from other staff.
- 11) Most of the funding has come from the regular school budget and occasionally from federal grants.
- 12) The school does not have much curricula in computer programming or about computers.
- 13) The school probably has not established formal student learning objectives.
- 14) The major computer-related purchases over the next two years will be software. To a much lesser extent, microcomputers, computer-related library materials, peripherals and staff training will be included in the school budget.
- 15) Elementary schools that do not currently have computers are hesitant to purchase microcomputers and software.

A typical Washington State public junior high school (or middle school) has computers. The junior high school's use of computers can be described as:

1) There are 3 computers in the school.



- 2) The computers are probably Apple or TRS-80 microcomputers.
- 3) There are about 379 students for every computer.
- 4) Only about 10% of the staff are actively involved in using the computers.
- 5) Each computer is used about 16 hours a week.
- 6) The computer is used primarily for teaching about computers, CAI, and teaching programming. It is also frequently used by students outside of class.
- 7) The school uses software in the classroom for mathematics instruction and computer education.
- 8) Software was probably written by someone on the staff or purchased from a computer vendor. Less frequently software is written by students, purchased from mail order or copied from other programs.
- 9) The school may use disk drives and/or tape drives with their computers.

 There may be a printer in the school.
- 10) Inservice training has probably been available to the staff. Many of the computer-using staff have been self-taught or have taken college coursework. Some also attended vendor classes or received training from other staff members.
- budget with occasional contributions from federal grants and parent-teacher organizations.
- 12) The school probably does not have curricula about computers or established curricula for programming.
- 13) There tend not to be computer-related student learning objectives.
- 14) Most of the junior high schools will purchase more microcomputers and software in the next two years. The school will also invest in staff training. The school also may invest in additional



peripherals and computer-related library materials.

At the senior high school level, Washington State public schools are very active in their use of microcomputers. The typical senior high school's use of computers can be summarized as:

- 1) The school has approximately 5 computers.
- 2) The computers are probably Apple or TRS-80 microcomputers but may occasionally be time-share terminals with computers outside the school.
- 3) There are approximately 237 students per computer.
- 4) Less than 8 per cent of the staff are actively involved in using the computers.
- 5) Each computer is used more than 20 hours per week.
- 6) The computers are primarily used for teaching programming. They also are used for teaching about computers and for use by students outside of class. They may be frequently used for CAI and business education.
- 7) Software is used in the classrooms for mathematics, computer education and business education.
- 8) Software was more than likely purchased from a computer vendor, written by staff or written by students. About a fourth of the time we also find mail order, user groups and copies of programs being sources of software.
- 9) The school has disk drives and printers. Tape drives and modems are present occasionally.
- 10) Inservice training probably hasn't been offered. Most of the computer-using staff is self-taught or has taken college course work for their training.



- 11) Most of the funding comes from regular school budget but occasionally state or federal grants contribute some funding.
- 12) The school has curricula in computer programming but has little or no curricula about computers.
- 13) Only about a third of the time will student learning objectives be found. These objectives tend to be in-school only and not district-wide.
- More microcomputers and software will be purchased over the next two years. More peripherals, computer-related library materials, and staff training will also be considered as future budget items.

Without a doubt computers, in particular the microcomputer, are having an impact on our public schools. This impact is being felt in small and large districts as well as at every grade level. However, much work must be done before the computer ceases to be something we teach about and becomes a tool to be used to enhance what and how we teach.



REFERENCES

- Edwards, J.B. Educational Computing in the Northwest, 1977: Status, and Needs for Information and Assistance. Report related to NIE grant number OB-NIE-G-78-0206. June, 1979.
- Fisher, F., and Dunn A. Washington State Computer Education Survey, Preliminary Report. Unpublished report, 1981.
- National Center for Educational Statistics School Microcomputers Triple Since 1980, NCES Survey Shows. Phi Delta Kappan, 64(2), 1982, 294.
- The Association of Washington School Principals. Association of Washington School Principals Micro-computer Survey. Unpublished report, 1982.



Computer Use Survey

Sch	ool name District
1.	Are computers used in your school? Yes No (If No, then go directly to Question #16)
2.	What kinds of computers do you use in your school? Apple Atari Commodore Texas Instruments Other (Please Specify) Addio Shack Time-share terminal(s) with the main computer at another location. Time-share terminal(s) with the main computer at your school
3.	How many computers does your school have on a permanent basis?
4.	How many computers does your school use on a rotating basis with other schools?
	4a. If you rotate computers between schools, how long does your school keep them?
5.	On an average, how many hours a week is each computer used?
6.	Which of the following sources of funding have you used for your school's computers and related materials? PTA, PTSA, PTO, etc. State grant Staff Other Private donation Federal grant Regular school budget
7.	Which of the following are current uses of your computers? Computer-assisted instruction Teaching computer programming Administration (attendance, etc.) Classroom management (grades, etc.) Student access outside of regular classroom hours Teaching about computers Other
8.	Does your school use software (programs) in the classroom? Yes No (If No, please go directly to Question #11).
9.	Please check sources of software that your school uses. Purchased from a computer vendor Purchased through mail order Written by a staff member Other Other



10.	Please check subject areas of softwMathLanguage ArtsReadingBusiness EdHistoryOther	are that your school usesScienceSocial StudiesArtVocational EdComputers
11.	What periphersals (accessories) areDisk drivePrinterVideotape or video-diskOther	used in your school?Tape driveTelephone modemHard disk
12.	What percentage of your staff is act	tively involved in using computers
13.	Has in-service training in the use of your staff? Yes No	of computers been available for
14.	If not, how have the teachers usingSelf-taughtCoolege credit coursesOther staff membersOther	computers been trained? _User's group _Vendor classes or training (e.g., Radio Shack)
15.	Do you use curricula for any of theComputer programmingBusiness Ed	following subjects?Teaching about computersVocational Ed
16.	Do you have computer-oriented SLOs?	
17.	Please check any items that you plan in the next two years.	
	MicrocomputersConsultant timePeripheralsComputer-oriented curricula (otherComputer-oriented library/resourcebooks, films, etc.)	Software Staff training than software) materials (e.g., magazines,



APPENDIX 2
Distribution of Mailings

(Number Mailed by ESD, Grade, and Size)

	CATIONAL ERVICE	El e men	tary	Jr.	High	Sr. H	ligh	To	tal
	STRICT #	DISTRIC Small	T SIZE Large	DISTR: Small	ICT SIZE Large	DISTRIC Small	T SIZE Large	DISTRI Small	CT SIZE Large
101	Mailed	6	6	0	6	6	6	12	18
	Returns	2	5	0	5	5	5	7	15
105	Mailed	6	6	2	6	6	6	14	18
	Returns	0	2	0	3	2	3	2	8
112	Mailed	4	6	3	6	5	6	12	18
	Returns	2	6	1	3	1	2	4	11
113	Mailed	6	6	2	6	6	6	14	18
·	Returns	5	3	1	4	3	6	9	13
114	Mailed	6	6	3	5	6	6	15	17
	Returns	2	3	3	4		3	10	10
121	Mailed	8	6	1	6	5	6	14	18
	Returns	5	6	1	2	4	5	10	13
123	Mailed	6	6	3	6	6	6	15	18
	Returns	4	3	2	4	4	3	10	10
171	Mailed	6	3	1	3	6	3	13	9
	Returns	1	2	1	2	4	3	6	7
189	Mailed	6	6	0	6	6	6	12	18
	Returns	4	3	0	5	5	5	9	13

Sub	M- 27 - 3	5 4							
Total	Mailed	54	51	15	50	52	51	121	152
	Returns	25(46%)	30(65%)	9(60%)	32 (64%)	33(63%)	35(69%)	67 (55%)	100(66%)

Total Mailed	105	65	103	273
Returns	58 (55%)	41(63%)	68(66%)	167(61%)



- 33 - APPENDIX 3A

Computer Use in Schools by ESD by Grade Level

ESD	Question Response	Elem l	Mid/Jr 2	<u>Sr</u> 3	Response Total	Cum Total
101	Yes	4	5	9	18	2.2
	No	3	0	1	4	22
105	Yes	1	3	4	8	10
	No	1	0	1	2	10
112	Yes	5	2	2	9	15
	No	3	2	1	6	
113	Yes	2	3	9	14	22
	No	6	2	0	8	
114	Yes	2	6	7	15	20
	No	3	1	1	5	
121	Yes	5	3	9	17	23
	No	6	0	0	6	
123	Yes	2	4	6	12	24
	No	5	2	1	8	
171	Yes	0	2	4	6	13
	No	3	1	3	7	
189	Yes	0	2	8	10	22
	No	/	3	2	12	
Sub Tota	<u>1</u> Yes	21	30	58	109	167
	No	37	11	10	58	
<u>Total</u>		58	41	68	167	

- 34
APPENDIX 3B

Computer Use in Scients by ESD by District Size

ESD R	uestion esponse	Pistrict ∠ 2000	<u>Size</u> > 2000	Response Total	Cum Total
101 R	Yes	5	13	18	10001
101	No	2	2	4	22
105		3	9		
105	Yes			12	14
110	No	1	1	2	
112	Yes	2	7	9	15
	No	2	4	6	
113	Yes	5	9	14	22
	No	4	4	8	
114	Yes	8	7	15	20
	No	2	3	5	20
121	Yes	7	10	17	22
	No	3	3	6	23
123	Yes	5	7	12	00
	No	5	3	8	20
1 71	Yes	3	4	7	• •
	No	4	3	7	14
189	Yes	5	6	11	
	No	5	7	12	23
Sub Total	Yes	43	72	115	***
	No	28	30	58	
otal		71	102	173	



 $$\rm - 35\mbox{ -}$$ APPENDIX 3C Computer Use in Schools by ESD by District Size by Grade Level

	compacer			by ESD by				_eve i	
ESD	Question Response	Ulsi Gra Elem	trict ≤ ide Leve Jr Hi	2000 <u>1</u> Sr Hi	Dist Gra Elem	trict > ade Leve Jr Hi	1	Response	Cum
LOU	Response	LIEII	01 111	21, 111	ETem	OF HI	Sr Hi	Total	Total
101	Yes	1	0	4	3	5	5	18	22
	No	1	0	1	2	0	0	4	22
105	Yes	0	0	1	1	3	3	8	10
	No	0	0	1	1	0	0	2	10
112	Yes	2	0	0	3	2	2	9	16
	No	0	1	1	3	1	0	6	15
113	Yes	2	0	3	0	3	6	14	00
	No	3	1	0	3	1	0	8	22
114	Yes	1	3	4	1	3	3	15	00
	No	1	0	1	2	1	0	5	20
121	Yes	2	1	4	3	2	5	17	0.0
	No	3	0	0	3	0	0	6	23
123	Yes	1	1	3	1	3	3	12	20
	No	3	1	1	2	1	0	8	20
171	Yes	0	1	1	0	1	3	6	
	No	1	0	3	2	1	0	7	13
189	Yes	0	0	4	0	2	4	10	20
	No	4	0	1	3	3	1	12	22
Sub T	otal								
	Yes	9	6	24	12	24	34	109	
	No	16	3	9	21	8	1	58	
Total		25	9	33	33	32	35	167	

APPENDIX 4A

Types of Computers Used in Washington State Schools

		E	LEME	NTARY	,			JU	INIOR	HIGH	1			S	ENIO	R HIG	Н				TOT	AL			
* ·		Dist ools	***			lll nools		Dist ools				11		Dist ools		Dist ools		11 001s		Dist ools	•			11 1001s	
Apple	4	44';	7	58°	11	52′ _w	3	50%	9	38%	12	40°	15	63%	22	65½	37	64%	22	56%	38	54%	60	55%	
Atari	0	0.5	1	8.7	1	5.3	0	0 %	2	80	2	7°.	0	0%	١	3%	1	2%	0	0%	4	6%	4	4%	
Commodore	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	2	8%	2	7%	4	17%	4	12%	8	14%	4	10%	6	9%	10	9%	
TI	0	0%	0	0.0	0	0	0	0.0	0	0°'	0	0%	0	0,0	1	3%	1	2%	0	0°,	1.] 0'	ì] %	
TRS-80	5	56.5	4	33%	9	43%	3	50%	11	46°	14	47%	13	54%	17	50%	30	52%	21	54%	32	46%	53	49%	
Time-Share w/elsewhere	1	11%	2	17°	3	14%	0	0%	4	17%	4	13%	2	8%	14	41%	16	28%	3	800	20	29%	23	21%	1
Time-Share w/school	0	0.°	0	0.	0	0%	0	0್ಲ	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	00'	0	0%	0	0°;	0	0%	0	0%	36 -
Other	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%]	17%	1	4%	2	7%]	4%	5	15%	6	10%	2	5%	6	9%	8	7%	
Number of espondents Computers		9	1	2	2	1		6	2	4	3	0	2	4	3	4	5	8	3	9	7	0	10	9	
(valid cases)	(2	5)	(3	3)	(5	8)	(9)	(3	2)	(4	1)	(3	3)	(3	5)	(6	8)	(6	7)	(10	0)	(16	7)	

45

ERIC

APPENDIX 4B

Current Uses of Computers in Washington State Schools

		E	LEM	ENTARY				Jl	MICH	t HIGH					SENI	OR HI	GH				101	AL		
Current Uses		Dist 1001s	1.7	Cist mols		(1) 1001 <u>s</u>			.,	Dist 1001s		111 1001s		Dist ools	v	Dist 1001s		11 001s		Dist cols		Dist 1001s		11 1001s
CAI	8	89	ï	5	15	71	2	33.	14	581	16	53	10	42%	16	470	26	45%	20	51%	37	53%	;	5 2 %
Teaching Program- ming	ç	56	6	50]]	52	5	33.	14	58	16	53·	21	86	26	77'.	47	810	28	72%	46	66°c	7	: 8°
Admini- stration	1	11]	Q.	2	10.	Ì	17%	2	8.	3	10	1	4%	15	44%	16	28%	3	8.	18	26%	21	19%
Classroum Management	2	22.	2	17.	4	19	1	17.	5	25%	7	23%	8	33%	13	38%	21	36 [‰]	11	28%	21	30%	32	29%
Student Access out- side class hours		33°	4	33)	7	33%	3	59°.	18	75 %	ĈĪ	70°	13	54%	18	53%	31	53%	19	49%	40	5 7 %	59	54°
Teaching about Computers	4	44°,	5	42°.	9	430	3	50%	15	63%	18	60°.	13	54°	21	62%	34	59%	20	51%	41	59%	61	56%
Computer Clubs	1	115	0	0	1	55	0	0%	6	25%	б	20%	4	17°	4	12%	8	14%	5	13%	10	14%	15	14%
Business Education	0	0.4]	8°	1	5 [™] 3	0	0.5	1	4 %	1	3%	11	46%	14	41%	25	4 3%	11	28%	16	23%	27	25%
Vocational Education	0	0%	1	8°	1	5%	0	0.0	0	0°5	0	0%	3	13%	6	18%	9	16%	3	8%	7	11%	10	9%
Other Uses	2	22%	2	17%	4	19%	2	33%	3	13%	5	17%	3	13%	3	9%	6	10%	7	18%	8	11%	15	14%
Respondents w/Computers valid cases) ERIC		9.5)		3	_	1 8) ·		6 9)		4 2)		0	24		3	4 5)	5 (6		3 (6		7 (10	0	10 (16	

APPENDIX 4C Subject Areas Using Software in Washington State Schools

		E	LEME	NTARY	,			JU	NIOR	HIGH			•		SENI	OR HI	GH				TOT	AL.		
Subject Areas		Dist ools		Dist nools		111 1001s		Dist ools	Lg	Dist	Þ	111		Dist	Lg	Dist	Α	11 1001s		Dist lools	Lg	Dist		111 1001
Math	7	78.	7	500	14	67.	4	67.5	14	58%	18	60%	11	46%	21	62%	32	5 5%	22	56%	42	60%	64	59
Language Arts	ō	56°,	4	331]	9	430	1	17%	ĥ	251	7	23%	5	21%	5	15%	10	17¢	11	28%	15	21%	26	23
Reading	3	331	3	25	6	29%	0	0.0	7	29%	7	23%	7	29%	2	6¢	9	16%	10	26%	12	17%	22	20
Business Education	0	0".	1	8.	1	5.	0	0.0	1	4%	1	3 %	10	42 <i>%</i>	14	41%	24	4] %	10	26%	16	23%	26	24
History	1	115	1	80	2	10%	Ì	17%	0	0.0	1	3°	0	0,0	1	3%	1	2%	2	5%	2	3%	4	4
Science	2	22':	2	170	4	19%	2	33%	5	21%	7	23	1	4%	9	27°	10	17%	5	13%	16	23%	21	19
Social Studies	2	22%	2	17°	4	19 ⁿ	0	0.5	4	17%	4	13%	1	4%	1	3%	2	3%	. 3	8%	7	10%	10	g
Art	1	11%	0	0°	1	5.	0	0%	2	8%	2	7%	0	0%	1	3%	1	2%	1	3%	3	4%	4	L
Vocational Education	1		1	81.	2	10%	0	0°.	3	13%	3	10°	6	25%	10	29%	16	28%	7	18%	14	20%	22	20
Computers	4	44%	1	86	5	24%	2	33%	11	46°	13	43%	12	50%	14	41%	26	45%	18	46%	26	37%	44	4(
Other	2	225	0	0,0	2	10%	0	0%	4	17%	4	13%	2	8%	6	18%	8	14%	4	10%	10	14%	14	13
espondents Computers		9	<u>-</u>	2	2	1		6	2	4	3	0	2	4		4	5	8	3	9	7	0	10	9
lid cases)	(2	5)	(3	(3)	(5	8)	(9)	(3	2)	(4	1)	(3	3)	(3	5)	(6	8)	(6	7)	(10	0)	(16	7)

APPENDIX 40 Sources of Software Utilized by Washington State Schools

		Ĺ	LLA	an egy				JU	MIOR	HIGH					SENI	OR HI	GH				101	AL		
i wie f. Situare						11 001s		Dist	Lg	Dist	A			Dist	Lg	Dist	A			Dist ools	Lg	Dist		11 001s
s Mater Which	:	* * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * *	б	50.	13	621	2	335	13	54%	15	50%	16	67b	19	56£	35	60°	25	641	38	54%	63	58°%
M ₂ j [*] To j _e v	÷	· ·	4	33	7	33	3	50:	6	25%	9	30%	7	29;1	7	21%]4	24%	13	33%	17	24%	30	28°
nt it i			1	33.	6	29	3	501	13	54%	16	53%	13	54°	18	531.	31	5 35	18	46%	35	50%	53	49%
Bago Massiles (1986) Bago prop		ťi]	13	1	5	0	0,	5	21%	5	17 ^m / ₂	8	33%	8	24 ^ω / ₂₀	16	28	8	21%	14	20%	22	20%
copied fo Amother Emograp	W. W.	, ĵ	1	8	1	5%	1	17°	8	33%	9	30″	8	33%	3	24%	16	28%	9	23%	17	24%	26	24%
woitten by student	ij	Ú.	3	25.	3	14%	1	17%	ĝ	38%	10	33%	12	50°	16	47%	28	48°	13	33%	28	40%	41	38%
uther Sources	Ĵ	Ü	1	3	1	5%	0	0,0	2	8%	2	7%	0	0%	1	3%]	2° / kc	0	0%	4	6,0	4	4%
Use Soft- ware in Classroom	7	7 <u>8</u>	7	580	14	67%	4	67°	16	67%	20	67%	17	71%	24	71%	41	71%	28	72%	47	67%	75	69%
# Respondents w/computers		9	. 1	2	2	1		6	2	4	3	10	2	4	3	4	5	8	3	19	7	0	10	9
(valid cases)	(2	5)	(3	3)	(5	8)	(9)	(3	2)	(4	1)	(3	3)	(3	5)	(6	8)	(6	57)	(10	0)	(16	1)

		F	LEME	Typ INTARY		f Com	pute		•	rals HIGH		by W	ashi	•		te Sc OR HI		\$			7 01	AL		
Types of Peripherals		Dist	Lg	Dist	A	11 001s		Dist	Lg	Dist	А	11 001s		Dist ools	Lg	Dist	A	11 001s		Dist,	/Lg	Dist' ools		11 001s
Disk Drive	}	33.	8	67°.]]	52°.	3	50.°	12	50°	15	50%	20	83%	26	77%	46	79%	26 /	67%	46	66%	72	66%
Printer	3	33.	7	581	10	48°	2	33%]]	46°	13	43°	20	83%	31	91 <i>d</i>	51	88%	25	64%	49	70%	74	68%
Videotape or Videodisk	1	11.	1	81.	2	10"	1	17%	4	17°	5	17%	1	4%	1	3°'	2	3 [€]	3	80%	6	9 _%	9	8%
Tape Drive	2	22.	3	25	5	241.	4	67%	8	33°	12	4 0%	6	25%	8	24°	14	24%	12	31%	19	27%	31	28%
Telephone Modem	1	11:	2	17	3	14%	0	0°.	3	13%	3	100	1	4°	12	22%	13	22%	2	5%	17	24%	19	17%
Hard Other Disk	0	0	0	0°.	0	0	0	0°	0	0%	0	0,"	0	0%	1	3%	1	2.5	0	0%]) (1) (1)	1	10/
Other	0	0	Û	0	0	0.	0	0%	0	0	0	0%	0	0%	1	3%	1	2%	0	00/	1] %	1	2%
				.	+									, <u>, , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , ,</u>						<u></u>	<i></i>			
# Respondents w/Computers		9	•	12	2	:1		6	2	<u>?</u> 4	3	0	2	<u>?</u> 4	3	14	5	8	3	9	7	0	10	9
(valid cases)	(2	25)	(;	33)	(5	(8)	(9)	(3	32)	(4	1)	(3	33)	(3	15)	(6	i8)	(6	7)	(10	0)	(16	7)

APPENDIX 4F

Types	0f	Training	in	Computer	Use	for	Washington	State	Schools
-------	----	----------	----	----------	-----	-----	------------	-------	---------

				JU	INIOR	HIGH					SENI	OR HI	GH				TOT	AL								
	pe of Tea- er Training		Dist nools				11 00]s		Dist nools	Lg Sch	Dist nocls		11 001s		Dist ools				11 001s		Dist ools	-	Dist ools		11 001s	
47	Inservice Training	7	78	6	50.	13	62%	4	6 7 %	14	5 8 s	18	60%	7	29%	14	41%	21	36%	18	46%	34	49%	52	48%	
	Self- Taught	3	331	4	33°.	7	33%	4	67%	14	58%	18	60%	18	75%	20	59%	38	66%	25	64%	38	54%	6?	58%	
!	College Credit Courses	1	$\prod_{i} \frac{i}{m}$. 2	17%	3	14%	4	67%	8	3 3%	12	4 0%	16	67%	19	56%	35	60%	21	54%	29	41%	50	46%	
	Other Staff Members	2	22	3	25 /	5	24	ļ	17%	7	29%	8	27%	3	13%	6	18%	9	16%	6	15%	16	23%	22	20%	
	User's Group	0	0 ;	1	8%	1	5∜	1	17%	3	13%	4	136	1	4%	1	3%	2	3%	2	5%	5	7%	7	6%	
	Vendor Classes or Training	0	0%	2	17%	2	10%	1	17%	7	29%	8	27%	3	13%	6	18%	9	16%	4	10%	15	21%	19	17%	
	Other	Ì]] a	Ú	0%	1	5%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0.0	0	0%	C	0%	0	0 %	1	3%	0	0%	1	1%,	
_	f	· F								···																
	# Respondents w/Computers		9	1	2	2]		6	2	24	3	10	2	24	3	4	5	8	3	9	7	0	10)9	
\int_{0}^{∞}	(valid cases)	(25	5)	(3	13)	(5	58)	((9)	(3	32)	(4	1)	(3	(3)	(3	5)	(8	58)	(6	i7)	(10	0)	(16	i7)	

APPENDIX 4G

Sources of Funding	for Computers	in Washington	State Schools
--------------------	---------------	---------------	---------------

		{	LEM	ENTARY	1			·	JUNI	OR HI	GH				SEN	IOR H	IGH				TOT	AL			
Sources of Funding		Dist				All hools		Dist hools						Dist hools	_			All hools		Dist hools	•			11 001s	
PTA, PTSA PTO, etc.	0	0	3	251	3	14%	0	0.	7	29%	7	23:	0	0:/]	3.5.	1	2%	0	0%	11	16%	11	10%	
State Grant	·) L	55.	}	8.	3	14	1	17 †	1	4:	2	7%	6	25°	6	18%	12	21%	9	23%	8]]]	17	16%	
Staff Con- tribution	()	(1	1	8	1	5	0	0.}	3	13%	3	10°.	0	0%	0	0%	0	0 k	0	0%	4	6%	4	4%	
Private Donation	()	Ç	1	8	1	51.	0	0	3	13%	3	10%	5	21%]	3 %	6	10%	5	13%	5	7%	10	9%	
Federal Grant	3 33 4 33		33.	7	33	3	50°.	4	17 5	7	23%	5	21%	9	27%	14	24 ^c / _h	11	28%	17	24%	28	26%		
Regular School Budget	6	67	7	58	13	62,	3	50 [%]	19	79%	22	73%	16	67%	31	91%	47	81%	25	64%	57	81%	82	75%	
Other Sources	2	22 .	3	251	5	24°	1	1 7 %	5	21%	6	20%	1	4 %	3	9 %	4	7%	4	10%	11	16%	15	14%	
Number of Respondents w/Computers]	2		21	and promotion to the	6	2	4	3	30	2	4	3	4	5	8	3	9	7	· '0	10	9	
(valid cases)	(2	25)	(3	33)	(5	58)	(9}	(3	2)	(4	11)	(3	3)	(3	5)	(6	8)	(6	57)	(10	0)	(16	7)	



APPENDIX 4H

Pro	spec	tive	Court	uter	Rela	ted B	Budge	t Ite	ems i	n Was	hing	ton S	tate	Scho	ols	That	Do U	lse Co	mput	ers					
		[LEME	INTARY				Jl	INIOR	N HIGH	1				SENI	OR HI	GH				TOT	AL			
Future Budget Them.			40	Dist wels		.11 .001 <u>s</u> _		Dist		Dist nools		11 1001s				Dist lools		11 1001s		Dist ools		Dist ools		11 001s	
Micro- computers	5	56%	3	25.	8	38	5	83%	17	71°.	22	73%	17	71%	26	77%	43	74%	27	69%	46	66°	73	67%	
Consultant Time	J)	U	Û	0	()	0.	0	0.0	3	13%	3	10%	1	4%	4	12%	5	9%	1	3%	7	10%	8	7%	
Peri- pherals	4	44	2	17 "	6	291.	2	33."	10	425	12	40%	10	42%	16	47%	26	45%	16	41%	28	40%	44	40%	
Computer- Oriented Curricula	2	20	2	17.	4	19°.	2	33.	5	21.4	7	23%	5	21%	6	18%	11	19%	9	23%	13	19%	22	20%	,
Computer- Oriented Library/ Resource Materials	4	44	4	33%	8	38°;	1	17%	-11	46%	12	40%	10	42%	12	35 %	22	38%	15	39%	27	39%	42	38%	
Software	6	67%	8	67%	14	67 <i>d</i>	5	83%	20	83%	25	83%	18	75%	23	68%	41	71%	29	74%	51	73%	80	73%	
Staff Training	3	33%	3	2 53	6	29%	4	67%	11	46%	15	50%	8	33%	12	35 %	20	35%	15	38%	26	37%	41	38%	
# Respondents w/Computers	9 1/ /1		6 24		24	3	30	2	24	3	34	5	8	3	19	7	0	10	9						
(valid cases)	(25)	(33	3)	(58	3)	((9)	(32	?)	(41)	(33	3)	(35	i)	(68	3)	(67	')	(10	10)	(16	7)	

	ţ	nos	pe	ctive	Con	puter	Rel	ated	Budg	et I	tems	in Wa	shin	gton	Stat	e Sch	neuls	s That	Do	Not U	se C	omput	ers			·	
			ELEMENTARY							J	INTOR	HIGH	1				SENI	IOR HI	GH				TOT	AL			
Future Budget Items		(il" ich	Dist ools_	Lg Sch	Dist ools		ll cols				Dist nols		11 001s				Dist neols		11 00ls		Dist ools	-			11 1001s	
	Micro- Computers		7	44	<u> </u>	38	15	41	1	33	į.	75	7	64	5	56	1	100 -	б	60.	13	46%	15	500	28	48%	,
,	Consultant Time		3	10	3	14	h	16	{	()]	13	1	9	0	0.	0	0.	0	0.	3	1)	4	13%	7	12%	٠
	Peri- pherals		:)	0	,)	()	()	()	t)	())	25	2	18	1]].	ŋ	().	-	10]	4	2	7%	3	5%	
	Computer- Oriented Curricula		2	13]		3	ō Ö	()	0	3	38	3	27	0	0	()	(). [.]	Ü	0	2	7 %	4	13%	6	10%	
	Computer- Oriented Library/ Resource Materials		3	19	4	19	7	19	U	ŋ	2	25	2	18.	2	22 :	0	0.	2	20%	5	18%	6	20 %	11	19%	4
	Software		ó	38	7	33	13	35	0	I)	6	75	6	55.	5	56:	1	1001	6	60%	11	39 [¢] / _k	14	47%	25	43%	
(Staff Training		6	38	7	33	13	35	2	67.	3	38.	5	45%	4	44°	0	0".	4	40%	12	43%	10	33%	22	38%	
	and a minimum state of the min					ndersyn ide symmether			بيحث سد			, many participation of							······································					 , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , 			
#	Respondents w/Computer		Ì	6	21		37		3		8	1	11		9]		10		28	•	30		58	ı	
(v	alid cases)) (25)	(33)	(58)	(9)		(32)	(41)	(33)	(35	i)	(68)	(67)	(100)	(167)	

ERIC

Full Text Provided by ERIC